

STARK STATE COLLEGE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT

Department/Division	Chair/Dean Chair/Dean
Health and Public Services	Kathleen Sommers
Degree Program(s)/Major(s)/Certificate(s)	Academic Year (20xx/20xx)
Associate of Applied Science	2016/2017
Human and Social Services, Gerontology, Chemical	
Dependency, Human and Social Services-Community	
Corrections, Criminal Justice, Government and Legal Studies,	
Health Information Management, Medical Assisting, Physical	
Therapist Assistant, Respiratory Care, Occupational Therapy	
Assistant, Medical Laboratory, Concept-Based Nursing,	
Concept-Based RN Completion for the Paramedic, Concept-	
Based RN Completion for the LPN, Ophthalmic Technology,	
Surgical Technology, Dental Hygiene, Massage Therapy,	
Emergency Medical Services, Emergency Fire	
Associate of Science	
Police Science, Dental Assisting, Expanded Functions Dental	
Auxiliary, Dietetic Technician, Dietary Manager	
Associate of Technical Studies	
Massage Therapy, Medical Instrument Sterilization Technician	
Career Enhancement Certificate	
Gerontology, Chemical Dependency, Police Science, State	
Tested Nurse Aide, Ophthalmic Assistant, Ophthalmic	
Technician, Patient Care Technology, Dental Assisting,	
Expanded Functions Dental Auxiliary, Sports Massage,	
Massage Transition to Licensure, Medical Instrument	
Sterilization Technician, Dietary Manager	
One-Year Certificate	
Medical Coding, Ophthalmic Assistant, Surgical Assisting	
Technology, Massage Therapy, Medical Instrument	
Sterilization Technician, Dietary Manager	

The annual assessment summary report assists the College in documenting assessment progress and provides department chairs with assessment data needed to complete their academic program review. Department chairs will summarize information for the courses assessed in their department during the academic year. Chairs will forward their department summary report to their dean by June 10. Deans will summarize information for the courses assessed in their division and forward their division report to the Provost by July 1. The Provost will prepare an Academic Affairs' assessment report by July 29.

1. Briefly summarize the data that was collected related to each of the General Learning Outcomes and the plans for improvement if below 70%.

<u>Direct indicator of student attainment of the General Learning Outcomes</u>: All courses that were assessed or reassessed during the 2016/2017 academic year in the Health and Public Services Division met the threshold of >70% with the exception of six courses. In the Respiratory Care program, three courses fell below the threshold in *effective communications* and two fell below in *quantitative literacy and information literacy*. In the Nursing Department, one course fell below the threshold in *critical thinking*.

Plans for improvement specific to those courses that fell below the 70% threhold:

• RCT 124

Reassess, update and revise quizzes

RCT 220

Review sessions will be held prior to test #1 and the final exam

RCT 128

A review session will be held prior to the interpretation assignment

RCT 224

Change from a Web 3 to a Web 2 course to facilitate interpretation of EKG rhythm strips, and

RCT 226

Expand content regarding chest tubes.

Nursing

Offer more practice guizzes, practice exams and reading assignments in the Nursing Programs prior to the ATI exam.

Template revision date: 5-10-2011, 9-26-2011, 3-17-2016, 4-6-2016

1a. Courses assesse	d/tota	ıl numbe	r of eligi	ble cour	ses in your	departm	ent or di	vision dui	ring this	past	acade	emic	year	= 89/1	.64 =	54% (ex.
8/45=18%)																	
			_		_							_			_		

Eligible courses reflect all approved courses in your department/division, including courses with an effective date, during this academic year. Reassessed courses should not be included in this section. Report re-assessed courses in 1b below. (Please provide numbers, including zero (0), in the blanks below. If not applicable, indicate with an NA.)

Faculty: 34 FT 51 Adjunct

Modality: 98 F2F 6 W2 17 W3 0 W4

Campus: 119 Main 1 Satellite 3 College Credit Plus 0 Early College

Time: 94 Day 27 Evening 2 Weekend

1b. Courses re-assessed/total number of eligible courses in your department or division = 23/77 = 30% (ex. 8/45=18%) (Please provide numbers, including zero (0), in the blanks below. If not applicable, indicate with an NA.)

Faculty: 8 FT 5 Adjunct

Modality: 11 F2F 7 W2 7 W3 0 W4

Campus: 25 Main 0 Satellite 0 College Credit Plus 0 Early College

Time: 19 Day 20 Evening 0 Weekend

- 1c. Programs, options, certificates affected by assessment/eligible programs, majors, certificates= 23/23 = 100% (ex. 1/3=33%)
- 1d. Departments participating in assessment/eligible departments= 8/8 = 100% (**To be completed by Deans ONLY**) (ex. 4/4=100%)

2. List the evaluation methods used to evaluate the GLOs and PLOs. Refer to examples on the course assessment templates and in the assessment handbook available on *mystarkstate*.

General L	earning Outcomes (GLOs)	Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)
GLO1 – Communication	Written exams/quizzes	Demonstration of clinical competencies and skills in the
		laboratory setting
	Research projects	Instructor clinical observations
	Reports	Performance of skills and demonstration of competencies in
		supervised clinical experiences
	Oral examinations	Performance on national and state certification and licensure
		exams
	Presentations	Employer surveys
	Class discussions	Student course evaluation

Template revision date: 5-10-2011, 9-26-2011, 3-17-2016, 4-6-2016

GLO2 – Quantitative Literacy	Diet analysis	Written and oral assignments, group work, class discussions, case analysis, quizzes, capstone experiences, scenario-based exams
	Quantitative laboratory determinations	
	Physiological assessments of patients	
	Drug calculations	
	Research projects	
	Interpretation of data	
	Scene analysis	
	Written exams/quizzes	
GLO3 – Information Literacy	Electronic documentation	
	Research projects	
	Case studies	
	WEB based assignments	
GLO4 – Critical Thinking	Interpretation of multiple data points to	
_	draw a conclusion	
	Interpretation of client physiological	
	presentation	
	Rapid scene assessment	
	Case studies	
	Determining appropriate action based on	
	information available	
	Problem-solving in the laboratory and	
	clinical setting	
GLO5 – Global & Diversity Awareness	Clinical practice	
	Clinical simulations	
	Volunteerism in the community	
	Student organizations	
	Projects	
	Written exams/quizzes	
GLO6 – Professional & Ethical Responsibility	Clinical practice	
·	Clinical simulation	
	Class discussion/web discussion	
	Written exams/quizzes	

Course Assessed	GLO1: Effective	GLO2:	GLO3:	GLO4: Critical	GLO5: Global &	GLO6: Civic,	
or Re-Assessed	Communication	Quantitative	Information	Thinking	Diversity	Professional, &	
		Literacy	Literacy		Awareness	Ethical	
						Responsibility	
112	95%	97%	97%	96%	97%	96%	
(89 assessed and							
23 re-assessed)							

3. Outline and summarize the action plans that have been developed to improve student learning based on the evidence for this year.

All courses assessed or reassessed met the 70% threshold with the exception of six courses. With respect to all courses meeting the threshold, the programs/departments will continue to monitor student progress and outcomes on a regular basis and seek input from industry representatives, advisory council members and professional practice organizations to ensure evidence-based and best practices are integrated into curriculum. Assignments and exams will be reviewed to ensure they reflect program learning outcomes and the industry standards for entry into practice. Instructional delivery models will be evaluated for effectiveness relevant to the content. Continue to seek out new clinical learning experiences.

Specific to those courses not meeting the 70% threshold:

Respiratory Care

RCT224C will be offered Web2 instead of Web3. Test reviews will be instituted in classes where tests scores fell below the 70% threshold. Tests/quizzes that fell below the 70% threshold will be reviewed and revised as appropriate. Additional content will be added to unit #5 in RCT226. Reassessments will be performed on RCT124, RCT128, RCT220, RCT224, and RCT226 next year to evaluate changes made to courses.

Nursing

All nursing students that fail a nursing course are required to meet with a faculty mentor weekly upon returning to the program. A Nursing Tutoring Center will be developed to feature content specific tutoring with nursing instructors as tutors. New students are encouraged not to work full time. New students are oriented to all nursing resources for success. Continue to improve labs to have sufficient and updated equipment to meet the program learning outcomes. Increase capability to utilize simulation both within the Nursing Department and across multidisciplinary programs.

4. What steps did you take to ensure shared responsibility from faculty/staff/students/advisory boards/etc. for student learning and assessment of student learning?

Student outcomes and course curriculum are discussed at Advisory Council meetings on which students are members as well as industry representatives. Programs hold regular meetings to discuss student success. Program coordinators on an ongoing basis monitor test scores and student outcomes. In addition, students provide formal feedback through the course evaluation process.

5. Identify the steps you plan to take to improve the effectiveness of the efforts to assess and improve student learning for next year.						
Steps for Improvement	Resource(s) Needed					
Institute content specific tutoring programs	Faculty assigned during office hours to provide tutoring					
Improve inter-rater reliability in clinical evaluation of students	Time allotted for training					
Provide more mentorship for adjunct clinical instructors	Clinical coordinators to be more active in the field					
Continue to develop and review grading rubrics	Communicate with faculty via department chairs and program coordinators					
Determine the effectiveness of online courses in promoting student success and determine resources available for online learners	Data collection of student scores and success to compare outcomes					
Integrate industry evidence-based best practices into the learning experience	Communicate with faculty via department chairs and program coordinators					